Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis



Abstract Interpretation and Static Analysis

Dr. Liam O'Connor CSE, UNSW (for now) Term 1 2020

Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

Static Analysis

Static analysis is the automatic analysis of code without executing it. It has a variety of applications from security to performance optimisations.

Data-flow Analysis

Static Analysis

Static analysis is the automatic analysis of code without executing it. It has a variety of applications from security to performance optimisations.

Rice's Theorem

Any non-trivial property about the language recognized by a Turing machine is undecidable.

Data-flow Analysis

Static Analysis

Static analysis is the automatic analysis of code without executing it. It has a variety of applications from security to performance optimisations.

Rice's Theorem

Any non-trivial property about the language recognized by a Turing machine is undecidable.

Our trick: Abstract away from the non-computable or intractable bits by approximating.

Data-flow Analysis

Static Analysis

Static analysis is the automatic analysis of code without executing it. It has a variety of applications from security to performance optimisations.

Rice's Theorem

Any non-trivial property about the language recognized by a Turing machine is undecidable.

Our trick: Abstract away from the non-computable or intractable bits by approximating.

Caution

If we overapproximate, we may produce a lot of *false positives* (spurious errors), but our analysis will be *sound*.

Data-flow Analysis

Static Analysis

Static analysis is the automatic analysis of code without executing it. It has a variety of applications from security to performance optimisations.

Rice's Theorem

Any non-trivial property about the language recognized by a Turing machine is undecidable.

Our trick: Abstract away from the non-computable or intractable bits by approximating.

Caution

If we overapproximate, we may produce a lot of *false positives* (spurious errors), but our analysis will be *sound*. If we underapproximate, we may report that the program is fine when it isn't (*false negatives*), but our analysis will be *complete*.

Data-flow Analysis

Static Analysis

Static analysis is the automatic analysis of code without executing it. It has a variety of applications from security to performance optimisations.

Rice's Theorem

Any non-trivial property about the language recognized by a Turing machine is undecidable.

Our trick: Abstract away from the non-computable or intractable bits by approximating.

Caution

If we overapproximate, we may produce a lot of *false positives* (spurious errors), but our analysis will be *sound*. If we underapproximate, we may report that the program is fine when it isn't (*false negatives*), but our analysis will be *complete*.

Most tools aren't sound nor complete, because they're a mixture.

Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

Math Recap: Lattices

Definition

A *lattice* (L, \preceq) is a set *L* and a partial order $(\preceq) \subseteq L \times L$ where any set $X \subseteq L$ has both a *least upper bound* sup $X \in L$ and a *greatest lower bound* inf $X \in L$.

We define $\top = \sup L$ and $\bot = \inf L$

Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

Math Recap: Lattices

Definition

A *lattice* (L, \preceq) is a set *L* and a partial order $(\preceq) \subseteq L \times L$ where any set $X \subseteq L$ has both a *least upper bound* sup $X \in L$ and a *greatest lower bound* inf $X \in L$. We define $\top = \sup L$ and $\bot = \inf L$

If I define a function $f: L \rightarrow L$ that is *monotone*, i.e.

 $x \preceq y \Rightarrow f(x) \preceq f(y)$

Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

Math Recap: Lattices

Definition

A *lattice* (L, \preceq) is a set *L* and a partial order $(\preceq) \subseteq L \times L$ where any set $X \subseteq L$ has both a *least upper bound* sup $X \in L$ and a *greatest lower bound* inf $X \in L$. We define $\top = \sup L$ and $\bot = \inf L$

If I define a function $f: L \rightarrow L$ that is *monotone*, i.e.

$$x \preceq y \Rightarrow f(x) \preceq f(y)$$

I can prove that f has both a *least* and *greatest fixed point*, i.e.

Least The least fixed point μf of a function $f : L \to L$ is the smallest (wrt. \preceq) element $x \in L$ such that f(x) = x. Greatest The greatest fixed point νf of a function $f : L \to L$ is the largest (wrt. \preceq) element $x \in L$ s.t. f(x) = x.

Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

Knaster-Tarski Theorem

Let's prove it for greatest fixed points, given a lattice L and a monotone function f:

• Define $D = \{x \in L \mid x \leq f(x)\}.$

Data-flow Analysis

Knaster-Tarski Theorem

- Define $D = \{x \in L \mid x \leq f(x)\}.$
- We know that $\forall m. \perp \preceq m$,

Knaster-Tarski Theorem

- Define $D = \{x \in L \mid x \leq f(x)\}.$
- We know that $\forall m. \perp \preceq m$, so we know $\perp \in D$,

Knaster-Tarski Theorem

- Define $D = \{x \in L \mid x \leq f(x)\}.$
- We know that $\forall m. \perp \leq m$, so we know $\perp \in D$,and, by monotonicity, $f(\perp) \in D$, $f(f(\perp)) \in D$ etc.

- Define $D = \{x \in L \mid x \leq f(x)\}.$
- We know that $\forall m. \perp \leq m$, so we know $\perp \in D$,and, by monotonicity, $f(\perp) \in D$, $f(f(\perp)) \in D$ etc.
- Let $u = \sup D$, the least upper bound.

- Define $D = \{x \in L \mid x \leq f(x)\}.$
- We know that $\forall m. \perp \leq m$, so we know $\perp \in D$,and, by monotonicity, $f(\perp) \in D$, $f(f(\perp)) \in D$ etc.
- Let $u = \sup D$, the least upper bound.
- Hence for all $x \in D, x \preceq u$,

- Define $D = \{x \in L \mid x \leq f(x)\}.$
- We know that ∀m. ⊥ ≤ m, so we know ⊥ ∈ D, and, by monotonicity, f(⊥) ∈ D, f(f(⊥)) ∈ D etc.
- Let $u = \sup D$, the least upper bound.
- Hence for all $x \in D, x \leq u$, and by monotonicity $f(x) \leq f(u)$.

Knaster-Tarski Theorem

- Define $D = \{x \in L \mid x \leq f(x)\}.$
- We know that ∀m. ⊥ ≤ m, so we know ⊥ ∈ D, and, by monotonicity, f(⊥) ∈ D, f(f(⊥)) ∈ D etc.
- Let $u = \sup D$, the least upper bound.
- Hence for all $x \in D, x \leq u$, and by monotonicity $f(x) \leq f(u)$.
- Thus $x \leq f(x) \leq f(u)$. So f(u) is also an upper bound of D.

Knaster-Tarski Theorem

- Define $D = \{x \in L \mid x \leq f(x)\}.$
- We know that ∀m. ⊥ ≤ m, so we know ⊥ ∈ D, and, by monotonicity, f(⊥) ∈ D, f(f(⊥)) ∈ D etc.
- Let $u = \sup D$, the least upper bound.
- Hence for all $x \in D, x \leq u$, and by monotonicity $f(x) \leq f(u)$.
- Thus $x \leq f(x) \leq f(u)$. So f(u) is also an upper bound of D.
- u is the least upper bound of D, so $u \leq f(u)$.

Knaster-Tarski Theorem

- Define $D = \{x \in L \mid x \leq f(x)\}.$
- We know that ∀m. ⊥ ≤ m, so we know ⊥ ∈ D, and, by monotonicity, f(⊥) ∈ D, f(f(⊥)) ∈ D etc.
- Let $u = \sup D$, the least upper bound.
- Hence for all $x \in D, x \leq u$, and by monotonicity $f(x) \leq f(u)$.
- Thus $x \leq f(x) \leq f(u)$. So f(u) is also an upper bound of D.
- u is the least upper bound of D, so $u \leq f(u)$. Thus $u \in D$.

Knaster-Tarski Theorem

- Define $D = \{x \in L \mid x \leq f(x)\}.$
- We know that ∀m. ⊥ ≤ m, so we know ⊥ ∈ D, and, by monotonicity, f(⊥) ∈ D, f(f(⊥)) ∈ D etc.
- Let $u = \sup D$, the least upper bound.
- Hence for all $x \in D, x \leq u$, and by monotonicity $f(x) \leq f(u)$.
- Thus $x \leq f(x) \leq f(u)$. So f(u) is also an upper bound of D.
- u is the least upper bound of D, so $u \leq f(u)$. Thus $u \in D$.
- By monotonicity, $f(u) \leq f(f(u))$,

Knaster-Tarski Theorem

- Define $D = \{x \in L \mid x \leq f(x)\}.$
- We know that ∀m. ⊥ ≤ m, so we know ⊥ ∈ D, and, by monotonicity, f(⊥) ∈ D, f(f(⊥)) ∈ D etc.
- Let $u = \sup D$, the least upper bound.
- Hence for all $x \in D, x \leq u$, and by monotonicity $f(x) \leq f(u)$.
- Thus $x \leq f(x) \leq f(u)$. So f(u) is also an upper bound of D.
- u is the least upper bound of D, so $u \leq f(u)$. Thus $u \in D$.
- By monotonicity, $f(u) \preceq f(f(u))$, so $f(u) \in D$

- Define $D = \{x \in L \mid x \leq f(x)\}.$
- We know that ∀m. ⊥ ≤ m, so we know ⊥ ∈ D, and, by monotonicity, f(⊥) ∈ D, f(f(⊥)) ∈ D etc.
- Let $u = \sup D$, the least upper bound.
- Hence for all $x \in D, x \leq u$, and by monotonicity $f(x) \leq f(u)$.
- Thus $x \leq f(x) \leq f(u)$. So f(u) is also an upper bound of D.
- u is the least upper bound of D, so $u \leq f(u)$. Thus $u \in D$.
- By monotonicity, $f(u) \preceq f(f(u))$, so $f(u) \in D$
- Because u is the least upper bound of D, $f(u) \le u$.

- Define $D = \{x \in L \mid x \leq f(x)\}.$
- We know that ∀m. ⊥ ≤ m, so we know ⊥ ∈ D, and, by monotonicity, f(⊥) ∈ D, f(f(⊥)) ∈ D etc.
- Let $u = \sup D$, the least upper bound.
- Hence for all $x \in D, x \leq u$, and by monotonicity $f(x) \leq f(u)$.
- Thus $x \leq f(x) \leq f(u)$. So f(u) is also an upper bound of D.
- u is the least upper bound of D, so $u \leq f(u)$. Thus $u \in D$.
- By monotonicity, $f(u) \preceq f(f(u))$, so $f(u) \in D$
- Because u is the least upper bound of D, $f(u) \le u$.
- Therefore f(u) = u, i.e. u is a fixed point.

- Define $D = \{x \in L \mid x \leq f(x)\}.$
- We know that $\forall m. \perp \leq m$, so we know $\perp \in D$,and, by monotonicity, $f(\perp) \in D$, $f(f(\perp)) \in D$ etc.
- Let $u = \sup D$, the least upper bound.
- Hence for all $x \in D, x \leq u$, and by monotonicity $f(x) \leq f(u)$.
- Thus $x \leq f(x) \leq f(u)$. So f(u) is also an upper bound of D.
- u is the least upper bound of D, so $u \leq f(u)$. Thus $u \in D$.
- By monotonicity, $f(u) \preceq f(f(u))$, so $f(u) \in D$
- Because u is the least upper bound of D, $f(u) \le u$.
- Therefore f(u) = u, i.e. u is a fixed point.
- All fixed points are in *D*, therefore *u* is the greatest fixed point.

Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

Fixed Point

How do we compute fixed points?

Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

Fixed Point

How do we compute fixed points?

For *finite lattices*, we can compute the least fixed point by *iterating* f from \bot , and the greatest by iterating from \top :

Let $\iota \in \{\top, \bot\}$ depending on which fixed point we want:

$$prev := \iota$$

$$curr := f(prev)$$

while curr \neq prev do

$$prev := curr$$

$$curr := f(curr)$$

od

Data-flow Analysis

Fixed Point

How do we compute fixed points?

For *finite lattices*, we can compute the least fixed point by *iterating* f from \bot , and the greatest by iterating from \top :

Let $\iota \in \{\top, \bot\}$ depending on which fixed point we want:

prev :=
$$\iota$$

curr := $f(prev)$
while curr \neq prev do
prev := curr
curr := $f(curr)$
od

Why does this terminate?

Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

Abstract Interpretation

A very common use-case for fixed point computations is in *abstract interpretation*, a type of static analysis.

Key Idea

 Replace concrete variables with approximate abstractions in an *abstract domain*, which is a lattice.

Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

Abstract Interpretation

A very common use-case for fixed point computations is in *abstract interpretation*, a type of static analysis.

Key Idea

- Replace concrete variables with approximate abstractions in an *abstract domain*, which is a lattice.
- Approximate the program's semantics using monotonic functions defined over that domain.

Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

Abstract Interpretation

A very common use-case for fixed point computations is in *abstract interpretation*, a type of static analysis.

Key Idea

- Replace concrete variables with approximate abstractions in an *abstract domain*, which is a lattice.
- Approximate the program's semantics using monotonic functions defined over that domain.
- Occupie the least fixed point of these functions.

Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

Abstract Interpretation

A very common use-case for fixed point computations is in *abstract interpretation*, a type of static analysis.

Key Idea

- Replace concrete variables with approximate abstractions in an *abstract domain*, which is a lattice.
- Approximate the program's semantics using monotonic functions defined over that domain.
- Occupie the least fixed point of these functions.

We have seen this before. Predicate abstraction is an example of abstract interpretation.

Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

The WHILE Language

To make things easier, we will define a simple imperative programming language as follows:

Note that we *label* all statements and conditions (usually with a number). These labelled terms correspond to nodes on the control flow graph.

Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

Examples

if
$$[x > 0]^1$$
 then
 $[x := 2x + 1]^2$
else
 $[x := 1 - 4x]^3$
 $[x := 8 \div x]^4$

Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

Examples





Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

Examples

if
$$[x > 0]^1$$
 then
 $[x := 2x + 1]^2$
else
 $[x := 1 - 4x]^3$
 $[x := 8 \div x]^4$



What abstract domain should we use to detect divide by zero?
Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

Intervals

Let's define intervals n, m as either:

- \emptyset , the empty interval, or
- [n, m] where $n, m \in \mathbb{Z} \cup \{+\infty, -\infty\}$.

Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

Intervals

Let's define intervals n, m as either:

- \emptyset , the empty interval, or
- [n, m] where $n, m \in \mathbb{Z} \cup \{+\infty, -\infty\}$.

We can define interval intersection $n \cap m$ as the interval where n and m overlap.

Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

Intervals

Let's define intervals n, m as either:

- \emptyset , the empty interval, or
- [n, m] where $n, m \in \mathbb{Z} \cup \{+\infty, -\infty\}$.

We can define interval intersection $n \cap m$ as the interval where n and m overlap.

Likewise, define union $n \cup m$ as the smallest interval containing both n and m.

Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

Intervals

Let's define intervals n, m as either:

- \emptyset , the empty interval, or
- [n, m] where $n, m \in \mathbb{Z} \cup \{+\infty, -\infty\}$.

We can define interval intersection $n \cap m$ as the interval where n and m overlap.

Likewise, define union $n \cup m$ as the smallest interval containing both n and m.

Observation

Define inf $S = \bigcap S$ and sup $S = \bigcup S$, then intervals form a lattice: $\bot = \emptyset$ and $\top = [-\infty, +\infty]$. The ordering \preceq here is interval inclusion. Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

Intervals

Let's define intervals n, m as either:

- \emptyset , the empty interval, or
- [n, m] where $n, m \in \mathbb{Z} \cup \{+\infty, -\infty\}$.

We can define interval intersection $n \cap m$ as the interval where n and m overlap.

Likewise, define union $n \cup m$ as the smallest interval containing both n and m.

Observation

Define inf $S = \bigcap S$ and sup $S = \bigcup S$, then intervals form a lattice: $\bot = \emptyset$ and $\top = [-\infty, +\infty]$. The ordering \preceq here is interval inclusion.

We can "lift" arithmetic operators to the interval level, where they apply to both bounds. Similarly define e.g. $\hat{3} = [3, 3]$.

Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

Equation Systems



Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

Equation Systems



Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

Equation Systems



Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

Equation Systems



Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

Equation Systems



Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

Equation Systems



Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

Equation Systems



Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

Equation Systems



Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

Equation Systems



Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

Equation Systems

We define a series of *entry* interval equations x_i , and a series of *exit* equations x'_i describing the possible values of x before and after the statement *i*.



Observe that all operations used are monotone. How can we compute what the intervals are? Iterate to the least fixed point !

x_1	=	Ø	=	$[-\infty, +\infty]$
x'_1	=	Ø	=	<i>x</i> ₁
<i>x</i> ₂	=	Ø	=	$x_1' \cap [1, +\infty]$
x'_2	=	Ø	=	$\hat{2} \times x_2 + \hat{1}$
<i>X</i> 3	=	Ø	=	$x_1' \cap [-\infty, 0]$
x'_3	=	Ø	=	$\hat{1} - \hat{4} \times x_3$
<i>X</i> 4	=	Ø	=	$x'_2 \cup x'_3$
x'_4	=	Ø	=	$\hat{8} \div x_4$

x_1	=	$\emptyset = [-\infty, +\infty]$	=	$[-\infty, +\infty]$
x'_1	=	Ø	—	<i>x</i> ₁
<i>x</i> ₂	=	Ø	=	$x_1' \cap [1, +\infty]$
x_2'	=	Ø	=	$\hat{2} \times x_2 + \hat{1}$
<i>X</i> 3	=	Ø	=	$x_1' \cap [-\infty, 0]$
x'_3	=	Ø	=	$\hat{1} - \hat{4} \times x_3$
<i>X</i> 4	=	Ø	=	$x'_2 \cup x'_3$
x'_{4}	=	Ø	=	$\hat{8} \div x_4$

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= \emptyset = [-\infty, +\infty] &= [-\infty, +\infty] \\ x'_1 &= \emptyset = [-\infty, +\infty] &= x_1 \\ x_2 &= \emptyset &= x'_1 \cap [1, +\infty] \\ x'_2 &= \emptyset &= 2 \times x_2 + 1 \\ x_3 &= \emptyset &= x'_1 \cap [-\infty, 0] \\ x'_3 &= \emptyset &= 1 - 4 \times x_3 \\ x_4 &= \emptyset &= x'_2 \cup x'_3 \\ x'_4 &= \emptyset &= 8 \div x_4 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= \emptyset = [-\infty, +\infty] &= [-\infty, +\infty] \\ x'_1 &= \emptyset = [-\infty, +\infty] &= x_1 \\ x_2 &= \emptyset = [1, +\infty] &= x'_1 \cap [1, +\infty] \\ x'_2 &= \emptyset &= 2 \times x_2 + 1 \\ x_3 &= \emptyset &= x'_1 \cap [-\infty, 0] \\ x'_3 &= \emptyset &= 1 - 4 \times x_3 \\ x_4 &= \emptyset &= x'_2 \cup x'_3 \\ x'_4 &= \emptyset &= 8 \div x_4 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= \emptyset = [-\infty, +\infty] &= [-\infty, +\infty] \\ x'_1 &= \emptyset = [-\infty, +\infty] &= x_1 \\ x_2 &= \emptyset = [1, +\infty] &= x'_1 \cap [1, +\infty] \\ x'_2 &= \emptyset = [3, +\infty] &= 2 \times x_2 + 1 \\ x_3 &= \emptyset &= x'_1 \cap [-\infty, 0] \\ x'_3 &= \emptyset &= 1 - 4 \times x_3 \\ x_4 &= \emptyset &= x'_2 \cup x'_3 \\ x'_4 &= \emptyset &= 8 \div x_4 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= \emptyset = [-\infty, +\infty] &= [-\infty, +\infty] \\ x'_1 &= \emptyset = [-\infty, +\infty] &= x_1 \\ x_2 &= \emptyset = [1, +\infty] &= x'_1 \cap [1, +\infty] \\ x'_2 &= \emptyset = [3, +\infty] &= 2 \times x_2 + 1 \\ x_3 &= \emptyset = [-\infty, 0] &= x'_1 \cap [-\infty, 0] \\ x'_3 &= \emptyset &= 1 - 4 \times x_3 \\ x_4 &= \emptyset &= x'_2 \cup x'_3 \\ x'_4 &= \emptyset &= 8 \div x_4 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} x_{1} & = & \emptyset = [-\infty, +\infty] & = & [-\infty, +\infty] \\ x_{1}' & = & \emptyset = [-\infty, +\infty] & = & x_{1} \\ x_{2} & = & \emptyset = [1, +\infty] & = & x_{1}' \cap [1, +\infty] \\ x_{2}' & = & \emptyset = [3, +\infty] & = & 2 \times x_{2} + 1 \\ x_{3} & = & \emptyset = [-\infty, 0] & = & x_{1}' \cap [-\infty, 0] \\ x_{3}' & = & \emptyset = [1, +\infty] & = & 1 - 4 \times x_{3} \\ x_{4} & = & \emptyset & = & x_{2}' \cup x_{3}' \\ x_{4}' & = & \emptyset & = & 8 \div x_{4} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} x_{1} & = & \emptyset = [-\infty, +\infty] & = & [-\infty, +\infty] \\ x_{1}' & = & \emptyset = [-\infty, +\infty] & = & x_{1} \\ x_{2} & = & \emptyset = [1, +\infty] & = & x_{1}' \cap [1, +\infty] \\ x_{2}' & = & \emptyset = [3, +\infty] & = & 2 \times x_{2} + 1 \\ x_{3} & = & \emptyset = [-\infty, 0] & = & x_{1}' \cap [-\infty, 0] \\ x_{3}' & = & \emptyset = [1, +\infty] & = & 1 - 4 \times x_{3} \\ x_{4} & = & \emptyset = [1, +\infty] & = & x_{2}' \cup x_{3}' \\ x_{4}' & = & \emptyset & = & \hat{8} \div x_{4} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} x_1 &=& \emptyset = [-\infty, +\infty] &=& [-\infty, +\infty] \\ x_1' &=& \emptyset = [-\infty, +\infty] &=& x_1 \\ x_2 &=& \emptyset = [1, +\infty] &=& x_1' \cap [1, +\infty] \\ x_2' &=& \emptyset = [3, +\infty] &=& 2 \times x_2 + 1 \\ x_3 &=& \emptyset = [-\infty, 0] &=& x_1' \cap [-\infty, 0] \\ x_3' &=& \emptyset = [1, +\infty] &=& 1 - 4 \times x_3 \\ x_4 &=& \emptyset = [1, +\infty] &=& x_2' \cup x_3' \\ x_4' &=& \emptyset = [0, 8] &=& 8 \div x_4 \end{array}$$

We start initialising all equations to \perp , and then iterate until the results stop changing. We can choose the equations in any (fair) order, and we will always reach a fixed point eventually. Some ways are faster than others.

$$\begin{array}{rcl} x_1 &=& \emptyset = [-\infty, +\infty] &=& [-\infty, +\infty] \\ x_1' &=& \emptyset = [-\infty, +\infty] &=& x_1 \\ x_2 &=& \emptyset = [1, +\infty] &=& x_1' \cap [1, +\infty] \\ x_2' &=& \emptyset = [3, +\infty] &=& 2 \times x_2 + 1 \\ x_3 &=& \emptyset = [-\infty, 0] &=& x_1' \cap [-\infty, 0] \\ x_3' &=& \emptyset = [1, +\infty] &=& 1 - 4 \times x_3 \\ x_4 &=& \emptyset = [1, +\infty] &=& x_2' \cup x_3' \\ x_4' &=& \emptyset = [0, 8] &=& 8 \div x_4 \end{array}$$

Seeing as $0 \notin x_4$, we know divide by zero is impossible.

Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

Slow Convergence

Because the previous example had no loops, all equations converged after one step. Compare to this example:

$$[n := 1]^1$$

while $[n < 1000]^2$ do
 $[n := n + 1]^3$
[skip]⁴

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
n'_{1} &=& \emptyset & = & [1,1] \\
n_{2} &=& \emptyset & = & n'_{1} \cup n'_{3} \\
n_{3} &=& \emptyset & = & n_{2} \cap [-\infty, 999] \\
n'_{3} &=& \emptyset & = & n_{3} + 1 \\
n_{4} &=& \emptyset & = & n'_{3} \cap [1000, +\infty]
\end{array}$$

Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

Slow Convergence

Because the previous example had no loops, all equations converged after one step. Compare to this example:

 $[n := 1]^1$ while $[n < 1000]^2$ do $[n := n + 1]^3$ [skip]⁴

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
n'_{1} &=& \emptyset = [1,1] \\
n_{2} &=& \emptyset \\
n_{3} &=& \emptyset \\
n'_{3} &=& \emptyset \\
n'_{4} &=& \emptyset \\
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
=&& [1,1] \\
=&& n'_{1} \cup n'_{3} \\
=&& n_{2} \cap [-\infty, 999] \\
=&& n_{3} + 1 \\
=&& n'_{3} \cap [1000, +\infty]
\end{array}$$

Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

Slow Convergence

Because the previous example had no loops, all equations converged after one step. Compare to this example:

$$[n := 1]^1$$

while $[n < 1000]^2$ do
 $[n := n + 1]^3$
[skip]⁴

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
n'_{1} & = & \emptyset = [1,1] \\
n_{2} & = & \emptyset = [1,1] \\
n_{3} & = & \emptyset \\
n'_{3} & = & \emptyset \\
n'_{4} & = & \emptyset \\
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
= & [1,1] \\
= & n'_{1} \cup n'_{3} \\
= & n_{2} \cap [-\infty, 999] \\
= & n_{3} + 1 \\
= & n'_{3} \cap [1000, +\infty]
\end{array}$$

Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

Slow Convergence

Because the previous example had no loops, all equations converged after one step. Compare to this example:

$$[n := 1]^1$$

while $[n < 1000]^2$ do
 $[n := n + 1]^3$
[skip]⁴

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
n'_{1} & = & \emptyset = [1,1] \\
n_{2} & = & \emptyset = [1,1] \\
n_{3} & = & \emptyset = [1,1] \\
n'_{3} & = & \emptyset \\
n'_{4} & = & \emptyset \end{array} = \begin{array}{rcl}
= & [1,1] \\
= & n'_{1} \cup n'_{3} \\
= & n_{2} \cap [-\infty,999] \\
= & n_{3} + 1 \\
= & n'_{3} \cap [1000, +\infty]
\end{array}$$

Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

Slow Convergence

Because the previous example had no loops, all equations converged after one step. Compare to this example:

$$[n := 1]^1$$

while $[n < 1000]^2$ do
 $[n := n + 1]^3$
[skip]⁴

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
n'_{1} &=& \emptyset = [1,1] \\
n_{2} &=& \emptyset = [1,1] \\
n_{3} &=& \emptyset = [1,1] \\
n'_{3} &=& \emptyset = [2,2] \\
n_{4} &=& \emptyset \end{array} = \begin{array}{rcl}
= & [1,1] \\
= & n'_{1} \cup n'_{3} \\
= & n_{2} \cap [-\infty,999] \\
= & n_{3} + 1 \\
= & n'_{3} \cap [1000, +\infty]
\end{array}$$

Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

Slow Convergence

Because the previous example had no loops, all equations converged after one step. Compare to this example:

$$[n := 1]^1$$

while $[n < 1000]^2$ do
 $[n := n + 1]^3$
[skip]⁴

Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

Slow Convergence

Because the previous example had no loops, all equations converged after one step. Compare to this example:

 $[n := 1]^1$ while $[n < 1000]^2$ do $[n := n + 1]^3$ [skip]⁴

Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

Slow Convergence

Because the previous example had no loops, all equations converged after one step. Compare to this example:

 $[n := 1]^1$ while $[n < 1000]^2$ do $[n := n + 1]^3$ [skip]⁴

Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

Slow Convergence

Because the previous example had no loops, all equations converged after one step. Compare to this example:

 $[n := 1]^1$ while $[n < 1000]^2$ do $[n := n + 1]^3$ [skip]⁴

Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

Slow Convergence

Because the previous example had no loops, all equations converged after one step. Compare to this example:

$$[n := 1]^1$$

while $[n < 1000]^2$ do
 $[n := n + 1]^3$
[skip]⁴

Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

Slow Convergence

Because the previous example had no loops, all equations converged after one step. Compare to this example:

 $[n := 1]^1$ while $[n < 1000]^2$ do $[n := n + 1]^3$ [skip]⁴

Slightly simplified equations for presentation:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} n'_1 &=& \emptyset = [1,1] &=& [1,1] \\ n_2 &=& \emptyset = [1,1] = [1,2] = [1,3] = \cdots &=& n'_1 \cup n'_3 \\ n_3 &=& \emptyset = [1,1] = [1,2] = [1,3] = \cdots &=& n_2 \cap [-\infty,999] \\ n'_3 &=& \emptyset = [2,2] = [2,3] = [2,4] = \cdots &=& n_3 + 1 \\ n_4 &=& \emptyset &=& n'_3 \cap [1000, +\infty] \end{array}$$

This is going to take a long time to converge! (1000 steps)
Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

Widening

Our interval abstraction is too detailed, making our loop iterations take ages.

Data-flow Analysis

Widening

Our interval abstraction is too detailed, making our loop iterations take ages.

Solution

Let *n* be the value we are updating and *m* be the result of the next iteration. Then, we update with $n \bigtriangledown m$ instead of *m*:

In other words, if we ever try to loosen a bound, we just extrapolate all the way to infinity.

Data-flow Analysis

Widening

Our interval abstraction is too detailed, making our loop iterations take ages.

Solution

Let *n* be the value we are updating and *m* be the result of the next iteration. Then, we update with $n \bigtriangledown m$ instead of *m*:

$$\begin{split} \emptyset \ \nabla \ m &= m \\ n \ \nabla \ \emptyset &= n \\ [\ell_0, u_0] \ \nabla \ [\ell_1, u_1] &= [\text{if } \ell_1 < \ell_0 \text{ then } -\infty \text{ else } \ell_1 \\ , \text{if } u_1 > u_0 \text{ then } + \infty \text{ else } u_1] \end{split}$$

In other words, if we ever try to loosen a bound, we just extrapolate all the way to infinity.

This is an overapproximation, but it converges much faster than the normal iterative sequence does.

Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

Loops with Widening

$$\begin{array}{rcl} n_1' & = & \emptyset \\ n_2 & = & \emptyset \\ n_3 & = & \emptyset \\ n_3' & = & \emptyset \\ n_4 & = & \emptyset \end{array}$$

= [1, 1] $= n'_1 \cup n'_3$ $= n_2 \cap [-\infty, 999]$ $= n_3 + 1$ $= n'_3 \cap [1000, +\infty]$

Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

Loops with Widening

$$n'_{1} = \emptyset = [1, 1]$$

$$n_{2} = \emptyset$$

$$n_{3} = \emptyset$$

$$n'_{3} = \emptyset$$

$$n_{4} = \emptyset$$

= [1, 1] $= n'_1 \cup n'_3$ $= n_2 \cap [-\infty, 999]$ $= n_3 + 1$ $= n'_3 \cap [1000, +\infty]$

Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

$$\begin{array}{rcl} n_1' & = & \emptyset = [1,1] \\ n_2 & = & \emptyset = [1,1] \\ n_3 & = & \emptyset \\ n_3' & = & \emptyset \\ n_4 & = & \emptyset \end{array}$$

$$= [1, 1] = n'_1 \cup n'_3 = n_2 \cap [-\infty, 999] = n_3 + 1 = n'_3 \cap [1000, +\infty]$$

Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

$$\begin{array}{rcl} n_1' &=& \emptyset = [1,1]\\ n_2 &=& \emptyset = [1,1]\\ n_3 &=& \emptyset = [1,1]\\ n_3' &=& \emptyset\\ n_4 &=& \emptyset \end{array}$$

$$= [1, 1] = n'_1 \cup n'_3 = n_2 \cap [-\infty, 999] = n_3 + 1 = n'_3 \cap [1000, +\infty]$$

Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

Loops with Widening

$$\begin{array}{rcl} n_1' &=& \emptyset = [1,1] \\ n_2 &=& \emptyset = [1,1] \\ n_3 &=& \emptyset = [1,1] \\ n_3' &=& \emptyset = [2,2] \\ n_4 &=& \emptyset \end{array}$$

= [1, 1] $= n'_1 \cup n'_3$ $= n_2 \cap [-\infty, 999]$ $= n_3 + 1$ $= n'_3 \cap [1000, +\infty]$

Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

$$\begin{array}{rcl} n_1' &=& \emptyset = [1,1] \\ n_2 &=& \emptyset = [1,1] = [1,+\infty] \\ n_3 &=& \emptyset = [1,1] \\ n_3' &=& \emptyset = [2,2] \\ n_4 &=& \emptyset \end{array}$$

$$= [1, 1] = n'_1 \cup n'_3 = n_2 \cap [-\infty, 999] = n_3 + 1 = n'_3 \cap [1000, +\infty]$$

Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

Loops with Widening

$$\begin{array}{rcl} n_1' &=& \emptyset = [1,1] \\ n_2 &=& \emptyset = [1,1] = [1,+\infty] \\ n_3 &=& \emptyset = [1,1] = [1,999] \\ n_3' &=& \emptyset = [2,2] \\ n_4 &=& \emptyset \end{array}$$

= [1, 1] $= n'_1 \cup n'_3$ $= n_2 \cap [-\infty, 999]$ $= n_3 + 1$ $= n'_3 \cap [1000, +\infty]$

Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

$$\begin{array}{rcl} n_1' &=& \emptyset = [1,1] \\ n_2 &=& \emptyset = [1,1] = [1,+\infty] \\ n_3 &=& \emptyset = [1,1] = [1,999] \\ n_3' &=& \emptyset = [2,2] = [2,1000] \\ n_4 &=& \emptyset \end{array}$$

$$= [1, 1] = n'_1 \cup n'_3 = n_2 \cap [-\infty, 999] = n_3 + 1 = n'_3 \cap [1000, +\infty]$$

Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

$$\begin{array}{rcl} n'_1 &=& \emptyset = [1,1] &=& [1,1] \\ n_2 &=& \emptyset = [1,1] = [1,+\infty] = [1,1000] &=& n'_1 \cup n'_3 \\ n_3 &=& \emptyset = [1,1] = [1,999] &=& n_2 \cap [-\infty,999] \\ n'_3 &=& \emptyset = [2,2] = [2,1000] &=& n_3+1 \\ n_4 &=& \emptyset &=& n'_3 \cap [1000,+\infty] \end{array}$$

Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

$$\begin{array}{rcl} n'_1 &=& \emptyset = [1,1] &=& [1,1] \\ n_2 &=& \emptyset = [1,1] = [1,+\infty] = [1,1000] &=& n'_1 \cup n'_3 \\ n_3 &=& \emptyset = [1,1] = [1,999] = [1,999] &=& n_2 \cap [-\infty,999] \\ n'_3 &=& \emptyset = [2,2] = [2,1000] &=& n_3+1 \\ n_4 &=& \emptyset &=& n'_3 \cap [1000,+\infty] \end{array}$$

Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

$$\begin{array}{rcl} n'_1 &=& \emptyset = [1,1] &=& [1,1] \\ n_2 &=& \emptyset = [1,1] = [1,+\infty] = [1,1000] &=& n'_1 \cup n'_3 \\ n_3 &=& \emptyset = [1,1] = [1,999] = [1,999] &=& n_2 \cap [-\infty,999] \\ n'_3 &=& \emptyset = [2,2] = [2,1000] = [2,1000] &=& n_3 + 1 \\ n_4 &=& \emptyset &=& n'_3 \cap [1000,+\infty] \end{array}$$

Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

Loops with Widening

$$\begin{array}{rcl} n_1' &=& \emptyset = [1,1] &=& [1,1] \\ n_2 &=& \emptyset = [1,1] = [1,+\infty] = [1,1000] &=& n_1' \cup n_3' \\ n_3 &=& \emptyset = [1,1] = [1,999] = [1,999] &=& n_2 \cap [-\infty,999] \\ n_3' &=& \emptyset = [2,2] = [2,1000] = [2,1000] &=& n_3+1 \\ n_4 &=& \emptyset = [1000,1000] &=& n_3' \cap [1000,+\infty] \end{array}$$

87

Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

Beyond Interval Anaylsis

Interval analysis is very effective but not very accurate, because it doesn't express the relationships between variables.

Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

Beyond Interval Anaylsis

Interval analysis is very effective but not very accurate, because it doesn't express the relationships between variables. Predicate abstraction and *polyhedral models* do better in many cases, but are more complicated.

Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

Beyond Interval Anaylsis

Interval analysis is very effective but not very accurate, because it doesn't express the relationships between variables.

Predicate abstraction and *polyhedral models* do better in many cases, but are more complicated.

All are based on the same principle of least-fixed point of a system of equations.

Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

Data-flow analysis is a type of static analysis used extensively in compilers.

Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

Data-flow analysis is a type of static analysis used extensively in compilers.

Example

 Available Expressions Analysis – Compute what expressions must have already been computed (and don't need to be recomputed).

Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

Data-flow analysis is a type of static analysis used extensively in compilers.

Example

- Available Expressions Analysis Compute what expressions must have already been computed (and don't need to be recomputed).
- Live Variables Analysis Compute which variables may be read before next being written to (and thus hold important values).

Data-flow Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

Data-flow analysis is a type of static analysis used extensively in compilers.

Example

- Available Expressions Analysis Compute what expressions must have already been computed (and don't need to be recomputed).
- Live Variables Analysis Compute which variables may be read before next being written to (and thus hold important values).

Data-flow analyses may be *forwards* or *backwards*, and *may* or *must*.

Data-flow Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

Data-flow analysis is a type of static analysis used extensively in compilers.

Example

- Available Expressions Analysis Compute what expressions must have already been computed (and don't need to be recomputed).
- Live Variables Analysis Compute which variables may be read before next being written to (and thus hold important values).

Data-flow analyses may be *forwards* or *backwards*, and *may* or *must*.

AEA is a forwards must analysis.

Data-flow Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

Data-flow analysis is a type of static analysis used extensively in compilers.

Example

- Available Expressions Analysis Compute what expressions must have already been computed (and don't need to be recomputed).
- Live Variables Analysis Compute which variables may be read before next being written to (and thus hold important values).

Data-flow analyses may be *forwards* or *backwards*, and *may* or *must*.

AEA is a forwards must analysis. LVA is a backwards may analysis.

Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

Step 1: Gen and Kill

Each location in the CFG has an associated gen set, of generated information, and kill set, of information that is no longer accurate.

Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

Step 1: Gen and Kill

Each location in the CFG has an associated gen set, of generated information, and kill set, of information that is no longer accurate.

Example (AEA)

In AEA, gen_{AE}(ℓ) is the expressions evaluated (and not updated) in ℓ and kill_{AE}(ℓ) is those expressions updated by ℓ .

Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

Step 1: Gen and Kill

Each location in the CFG has an associated gen set, of generated information, and kill set, of information that is no longer accurate.

Example (AEA)

In AEA, $\text{gen}_{AE}(\ell)$ is the expressions evaluated (and not updated) in ℓ and $\text{kill}_{AE}(\ell)$ is those expressions updated by ℓ . For example, x := a + b would generate $\{a + b\}$, but kill any expression involving x.

Data-flow Analysis

Step 1: Gen and Kill

Each location in the CFG has an associated gen set, of generated information, and kill set, of information that is no longer accurate.

Example (AEA)

In AEA, $gen_{AE}(\ell)$ is the expressions evaluated (and not updated) in ℓ and kill_{AE}(ℓ) is those expressions updated by ℓ . For example, x := a + b would generate $\{a + b\}$, but kill any expression involving x. **Note:** a := a + 1 would kill a + 1, not generate it. Why?

Example (LVA)

In LVA

Data-flow Analysis

Step 1: Gen and Kill

Each location in the CFG has an associated gen set, of generated information, and kill set, of information that is no longer accurate.

Example (AEA)

In AEA, $gen_{AE}(\ell)$ is the expressions evaluated (and not updated) in ℓ and kill_{AE}(ℓ) is those expressions updated by ℓ . For example, x := a + b would generate $\{a + b\}$, but kill any expression involving x. **Note:** a := a + 1 would kill a + 1, not generate it. Why?

Example (LVA)

In LVA, gen_{LV}(ℓ) is the variables read (and not written to) in ℓ and kill_{LV}(ℓ) would be the variables written to in ℓ . For example, x := a + b would generate $\{a, b\}$ and kill $\{x\}$.

Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis



Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis



Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis



Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

$[x := a + b]^1$
$\bigvee_{[y := a * b]^2}$
$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad$
$[a := a + 1]^{*}$
$\setminus \downarrow$
$[x := a + b]^5$

l	gen _{AE}	kill _{AE}
1	$\{a+b\}$	Ø
2	$\{a * b\}$	Ø
3		

Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis



ℓ	gen _{AE}	kill _{AE}
1	$\{a+b\}$	Ø
2	$\{a * b\}$	Ø
3	$\{a+b\}$	Ø
4		

Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis



l	gen _{AE}	kill _{AE}
1	$\{a+b\}$	Ø
2	{ <i>a</i> * <i>b</i> }	Ø
3	$\{a+b\}$	Ø
4	Ø	

Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

$$[x := a + b]^{1}$$

$$[y := a * b]^{2}$$

$$[y > a + b]^{3} \rightarrow$$

$$[a := a + 1]^{4}$$

$$[x := a + b]^{5}$$

l	gen _{AE}	kill _{AE}
1	$\{a+b\}$	Ø
2	{ <i>a</i> * <i>b</i> }	Ø
3	$\{a+b\}$	Ø
4	Ø	$\{a+b, a*b, a+1\}$
5		
Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

Available Expressions Example



ℓ	gen _{AE}	kill _{AE}
1	$\{a+b\}$	Ø
2	{ <i>a</i> * <i>b</i> }	Ø
3	$\{a+b\}$	Ø
4	Ø	$\{a+b, a*b, a+1\}$
5	$\{a+b\}$	Ø

What to do now?

Specify an equation system that relates these, then find the fixed point!

Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

Forwards Must Analysis

The set of available expressions as we enter a location ℓ is the intersection of all available expressions from the predecessors to ℓ :

$$AE_{\text{entry}}(\ell) = \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if } \ell \in I_{\text{CFG}} \\ \bigcap \{AE_{\text{exit}}(\ell') \mid (\ell', \ell) \in \delta_{\text{CFG}} \} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Data-flow Analysis

Forwards Must Analysis

The set of available expressions as we enter a location ℓ is the intersection of all available expressions from the predecessors to ℓ :

$$AE_{\mathsf{entry}}(\ell) = \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if } \ell \in I_{\mathsf{CFG}} \\ \bigcap \{AE_{\mathsf{exit}}(\ell') \mid (\ell', \ell) \in \delta_{\mathsf{CFG}} \} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We choose intersection because we want expressions that are **definitely** available no matter what route we took to get to ℓ (a **must** analysis).

Data-flow Analysis

Forwards Must Analysis

The set of available expressions as we enter a location ℓ is the intersection of all available expressions from the predecessors to ℓ :

$$AE_{\mathsf{entry}}(\ell) = \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if } \ell \in I_{\mathsf{CFG}} \\ \bigcap \{AE_{\mathsf{exit}}(\ell') \mid (\ell', \ell) \in \delta_{\mathsf{CFG}} \} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We choose intersection because we want expressions that are **definitely** available no matter what route we took to get to ℓ (a **must** analysis).

The set of available expressions as we exit a location ℓ is the set that we entered with, minus anything we kill, plus anything we generate:

$$AE_{\mathsf{exit}}(\ell) = (AE_{\mathsf{entry}}(\ell) \setminus \mathsf{kill}_{\mathsf{AE}}(\ell)) \cup \mathsf{gen}_{\mathsf{AE}}(\ell)$$

Data-flow Analysis



l	gen _{AF}	kill _{AE}
1	$\{a+b\}$	Ø
2	$\{a * b\}$	Ø
3	$\{a+b\}$	Ø
4	Ø	${a+b, a*b, a+1}$
5	$\{a+b\}$	

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \ell & AE_{entry}(\ell) & AE_{exit}(\ell) \\ \hline 1 & \emptyset & \end{array}$$

Data-flow Analysis



ℓ	gen _{AE}	kill _{AE}
1	$\{a+b\}$	Ø
2	{ <i>a</i> * <i>b</i> }	Ø
3	$\{a+b\}$	Ø
4	Ø	$\{a + b, a * b, a + 1\}$
5	$\{a+b\}$	

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \ell & AE_{entry}(\ell) & AE_{exit}(\ell) \\ \hline 1 & \emptyset & AE_{entry}(1) \cup \{a+b\} \end{array}$$

Data-flow Analysis



ℓ	gen _{AE}	kill _{AE}
1	$\{a+b\}$	Ø
2	$\{a * b\}$	Ø
3	$\{a+b\}$	Ø
4	Ø	${a+b, a*b, a+1}$
5	$\{a+b\}$	

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \ell & AE_{entry}(\ell) & AE_{exit}(\ell) \\ 1 & \emptyset & AE_{entry}(1) \cup \{a+b\} \\ 2 & AE_{exit}(1) \end{array}$$

Data-flow Analysis



l	gen _{AE}	kill _{AE}
1	$\{a+b\}$	Ø
2	{ <i>a</i> * <i>b</i> }	Ø
3	$\{a+b\}$	Ø
4	Ø	$\{a + b, a * b, a + 1\}$
5	$\{a+b\}$	

l	$AE_{entry}(\ell)$	$AE_{exit}(\ell)$
1	Ø	$AE_{entry}(1) \cup \{a+b\}$
2	$AE_{e\times it}(1)$	$AE_{entry}(2) \cup \{a * b\}$

Data-flow Analysis



l	gen _{AE}	kill _{AE}
1	$\{a+b\}$	Ø
2	{ <i>a</i> * <i>b</i> }	Ø
3	$\{a+b\}$	Ø
4	Ø	$\{a+b, a*b, a+1\}$
5	$\{a+b\}$	

ℓ	$AE_{ m entry}(\ell)$	$AE_{\text{exit}}(\ell)$
1	Ø	$AE_{entry}(1) \cup \{a+b\}$
2	$AE_{\text{exit}}(1)$	$AE_{entry}(2) \cup \{a * b\}$
3	$AE_{exit}(2) \cap AE_{exit}(5)$	

Data-flow Analysis



l	gen _{AE}	kill _{AE}
1	$\{a+b\}$	Ø
2	{ <i>a</i> * <i>b</i> }	Ø
3	$\{a+b\}$	Ø
4	Ø	$\{a+b, a*b, a+1\}$
5	$\{a+b\}$	

l	$AE_{entry}(\ell)$	$AE_{\text{exit}}(\ell)$
1	Ø	$AE_{entry}(1) \cup \{a+b\}$
2	$AE_{\text{exit}}(1)$	$AE_{entry}(2) \cup \{a * b\}$
3	$AE_{exit}(2) \cap AE_{exit}(5)$	$AE_{entry}(3) \cup \{a+b\}$

Data-flow Analysis



l	gen _{AE}	kill _{AE}
1	$\{a+b\}$	Ø
2	{ <i>a</i> * <i>b</i> }	Ø
3	$\{a+b\}$	Ø
4	Ø	$\{a+b, a*b, a+1\}$
5	$\{a+b\}$	

l	$AE_{ m entry}(\ell)$	$AE_{exit}(\ell)$
1	Ø	$AE_{entry}(1) \cup \{a+b\}$
2	$AE_{\text{exit}}(1)$	$AE_{entry}(2) \cup \{a * b\}$
3	$AE_{\text{exit}}(2) \cap AE_{\text{exit}}(5)$	$AE_{entry}(3) \cup \{a+b\}$
4	$AE_{exit}(3)$	

Data-flow Analysis



l	gen _{AE}	kill _{AE}
1	$\{a+b\}$	Ø
2	{ <i>a</i> * <i>b</i> }	Ø
3	$\{a+b\}$	Ø
4	Ø	$\{a+b, a*b, a+1\}$
5	$\{a+b\}$	

l	$AE_{ m entry}(\ell)$	$AE_{\text{exit}}(\ell)$
1	Ø	$AE_{entry}(1) \cup \{a+b\}$
2	$AE_{\text{exit}}(1)$	$AE_{entry}(2) \cup \{a * b\}$
3	$AE_{\text{exit}}(2) \cap AE_{\text{exit}}(5)$	$AE_{entry}(3) \cup \{a+b\}$
4	$AE_{exit}(3)$	$AE_{entry}(4) \setminus kill_{AE}(4)$

Data-flow Analysis



l	gen _{AE}	kill _{AE}
1	$\{a+b\}$	Ø
2	{ <i>a</i> * <i>b</i> }	Ø
3	$\{a+b\}$	Ø
4	Ø	$\{a+b, a*b, a+1\}$
5	$\{a+b\}$	

ℓ	$AE_{entry}(\ell)$	$AE_{\text{exit}}(\ell)$
1	Ø	$AE_{entry}(1) \cup \{a+b\}$
2	$AE_{exit}(1)$	$AE_{entry}(2) \cup \{a * b\}$
3	$AE_{exit}(2) \cap AE_{exit}(5)$	$AE_{entry}(3) \cup \{a+b\}$
4	$AE_{exit}(3)$	$AE_{entry}(4) \setminus kill_{AE}(4)$
5	$AE_{exit}(4)$	

Data-flow Analysis

Example for AEA



l	gen _{AE}	kill _{AE}
1	$\{a+b\}$	Ø
2	{ <i>a</i> * <i>b</i> }	Ø
3	$\{a+b\}$	Ø
4	Ø	$\{a+b, a*b, a+1\}$
5	$\{a+b\}$	

l	$AE_{entry}(\ell)$	$AE_{\text{exit}}(\ell)$
1	Ø	$AE_{entry}(1) \cup \{a+b\}$
2	$AE_{exit}(1)$	$AE_{entry}(2) \cup \{a * b\}$
3	$AE_{exit}(2) \cap AE_{exit}(5)$	$AE_{entry}(3) \cup \{a+b\}$
4	$AE_{exit}(3)$	$AE_{entry}(4) \setminus kill_{AE}(4)$
5	$AE_{e\times it}(4)$	$AE_{entry}(5) \cup \{a+b\}$

Liam: Compute the LFP on the board

Data-flow Analysis

Results

$[x := a + b]^1$
\downarrow
$[y := a * b]^2$
\downarrow
$[y > a + b]^3$ -
$\land \downarrow$
$[a := a + 1]^4$
$\setminus \downarrow$
$[x := a + b]^5$

l	gen _{AE}	kill _{AE}
1	$\{a+b\}$	Ø
2	{ <i>a</i> * <i>b</i> }	Ø
3	$\{a+b\}$	Ø
4	Ø	$\{a+b, a*b, a+1\}$
5	$\{a+b\}$	

l	$AE_{entry}(\ell)$	$AE_{exit}(\ell)$
1	Ø	$\{a+b\}$
2	$\{a+b\}$	$\{a+b, a*b\}$
3	$\{a+b\}$	$\{a+b\}$
4	$\{a+b\}$	Ø
5	Ø	$\{a+b\}$

123

Data-flow Analysis

Results

$[x := a + b]^1$	l	
	1	
	2	
$[v - a + b]^2$	3	
[J .= u + b]	4	
	5	
\downarrow		
$[y > a + b]^3 \longrightarrow$	ℓ	
	1	
	2	
$[a := a + 1]^4$	3	
	4	
\setminus	5	
< ↓ _		

l	gen _{AE}	kill _{AE}
1	$\{a+b\}$	Ø
2	{ <i>a</i> * <i>b</i> }	Ø
3	$\{a+b\}$	Ø
4	Ø	${a+b, a*b, a+1}$
5	$\{a+b\}$	

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \ell & AE_{entry}(\ell) & AE_{exit}(\ell) \\ \hline 1 & \emptyset & \{a+b\} \\ 2 & \{a+b\} & \{a+b,a*b\} \\ 3 & \{a+b\} & \{a+b\} \\ 4 & \{a+b\} & \emptyset \\ 5 & \emptyset & \{a+b\} \end{array}$$

 $[x := a + b]^5$

Note $\ell = 3$ can be optimised, as a + b is already computed!

124

Data-flow Analysis

Backwards May Analysis

The set of live variables as we exit a location ℓ is the union of live variables from the successors to ℓ :

$$LV_{\mathsf{exit}}(\ell) = \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if } \ell \in F_{\mathsf{CFG}} \\ \bigcup \{LV_{\mathsf{exit}}(\ell') \mid (\ell, \ell') \in \delta_{\mathsf{CFG}} \} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Backwards May Analysis

The set of live variables as we exit a location ℓ is the union of live variables from the successors to ℓ :

$$LV_{\mathsf{exit}}(\ell) = \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if } \ell \in F_{\mathsf{CFG}} \\ \bigcup \{LV_{\mathsf{exit}}(\ell') \mid (\ell, \ell') \in \delta_{\mathsf{CFG}} \} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We choose union because we want any variables that **might be** used in a successor to be marked live in ℓ (a may analysis).

Backwards May Analysis

The set of live variables as we exit a location ℓ is the union of live variables from the successors to ℓ :

$$LV_{\mathsf{exit}}(\ell) = \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if } \ell \in F_{\mathsf{CFG}} \\ \bigcup \{LV_{\mathsf{exit}}(\ell') \mid (\ell, \ell') \in \delta_{\mathsf{CFG}} \} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We choose union because we want any variables that **might be** used in a successor to be marked live in ℓ (a may analysis).

The set of live variables as we enter a location ℓ is the set of live variables at the exit, minus anything we kill (write to), plus anything we generate (read from):

$$\mathit{LV}_{\mathsf{entry}}(\ell) = (\mathit{LV}_{\mathsf{exit}}(\ell) \setminus \mathsf{kill}_{\mathsf{LV}}(\ell)) \cup \mathsf{gen}_{\mathsf{LV}}(\ell)$$

Backwards May Analysis

The set of live variables as we exit a location ℓ is the union of live variables from the successors to ℓ :

$$LV_{\mathsf{exit}}(\ell) = \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if } \ell \in F_{\mathsf{CFG}} \\ \bigcup \{LV_{\mathsf{exit}}(\ell') \mid (\ell, \ell') \in \delta_{\mathsf{CFG}} \} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We choose union because we want any variables that **might be** used in a successor to be marked live in ℓ (a may analysis).

The set of live variables as we enter a location ℓ is the set of live variables at the exit, minus anything we kill (write to), plus anything we generate (read from):

$$LV_{entry}(\ell) = (LV_{exit}(\ell) \setminus kill_{LV}(\ell)) \cup gen_{LV}(\ell)$$

The entry and exit equations are flipped because this is a backwards analysis.

Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis



Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

Example for LVA

kill_{LV}



Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

Example for LVA

kill_{LV}

 $\{a\}$



Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

Example for LVA

 $\frac{\mathsf{kill}_{LV}}{\{a\}}$

1			
$[a := 0]^1$	-	l	gen _{LV}
		1	Ø
		2	$\{a\}$
[b := a * 1]]2		
$\int c := c + b$	6] ³		
	1		
\ ↓			
$\left(a := b * 2 \right)$	<u>2]</u> 4		
\mathbf{X}			
\searrow			
[、	[unt-	-16
$[a < 9]^\circ$	\rightarrow	Ireti	$[C_{1}, C_{1}]$

Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

Example for LVA

 $\begin{aligned} \text{kill}_{LV} \\ \{a\} \\ \{b\} \end{aligned}$

1		
$[a := 0]^1$	l	gen _{LV}
	1	Ø
	2	{ <i>a</i> }
$[b:=a*1]^2$	3	
\nearrow		
$\int [c := c + b]^3$		
$[a := b * 2]^4$		
$[a < 9]^5 \longrightarrow$	[ret	urn c] ⁶

Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

Example for LVA

kill_{LV}

{a} {b} {c}

$$[a := 0]^{1}$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$[b := a * 1]^{2}$$

$$[c := c + b]^{3}$$

$$[a := b * 2]^{4}$$

$$[a < 9]^{5} \rightarrow [return c]^{6}$$

Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

$$[a := 0]^{1}$$

$$[b := a * 1]^{2}$$

$$[c := c + b]^{3}$$

$$[a := b * 2]^{4}$$

$$[a < 9]^{5} \rightarrow [return c]^{6}$$

$$[a := 0]^{1}$$

$$[a := b * 2]^{4}$$

Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis



Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis



Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis



Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis



Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis



Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis



Data-flow Analysis 00000000000

Example for LVA



l	gen _{LV}	kill _{LV}
1	Ø	{a}
2	{ <i>a</i> }	{ <i>b</i> }
3	{ <i>b</i> }	{ <i>c</i> }
4	{ <i>b</i> }	{a}
5	{a}	Ø

ℓ	$LV_{entry}(\ell)$	$LV_{exit}(\ell)$
1	$LV_{exit}(1)\setminus\{a\}$	$LV_{entry}(2)$
2	$LV_{exit}(2) \setminus \{b\} \cup \{a\}$	$LV_{entry}(3)$
3	$LV_{exit}(3) \setminus \{c\} \cup \{b\}$	$LV_{entry}(4)$
4		

 $[a < 9]^5 \longrightarrow [\text{return } c]^6$

Data-flow Analysis 00000000000

Example for LVA



l	gen _{LV}	kill _{LV}
1	Ø	{a}
2	{a}	{ <i>b</i> }
3	{ <i>b</i> }	{ <i>c</i> }
4	{ <i>b</i> }	{a}
5	{a}	Ø

l	$LV_{entry}(\ell)$	$LV_{exit}(\ell)$
1	$LV_{exit}(1)\setminus\{a\}$	$LV_{entry}(2)$
2	$LV_{exit}(2) \setminus \{b\} \cup \{a\}$	$LV_{entry}(3)$
3	$LV_{exit}(3) \setminus \{c\} \cup \{b\}$	$LV_{entry}(4)$
4	$LV_{exit}(4) \setminus \{a\} \cup \{b\}$	
'		

 $[a < 9]^5 \longrightarrow [\text{return } c]^6$

Data-flow Analysis 00000000000

Example for LVA



ℓ	gen _{LV}	kill _{LV}
1	Ø	{a}
2	{ <i>a</i> }	{ <i>b</i> }
3	{ <i>b</i> }	{ <i>c</i> }
4	{ <i>b</i> }	$\{a\}$
5	{a}	Ø

ℓ	$LV_{entry}(\ell)$	$LV_{exit}(\ell)$
1	$LV_{exit}(1)\setminus\{a\}$	$LV_{entry}(2)$
2	$LV_{exit}(2) \setminus \{b\} \cup \{a\}$	$LV_{entry}(3)$
3	$LV_{exit}(3) \setminus \{c\} \cup \{b\}$	$LV_{entry}(4)$
4	$LV_{exit}(4) \setminus \{a\} \cup \{b\}$	$LV_{entry}(5)$
5		
	'	

 $[a < 9]^5 \longrightarrow [\text{return } c]^6$
Data-flow Analysis 00000000000

Example for LVA



l	gen _{LV}	kill _{LV}
1	Ø	{a}
2	{a}	{ <i>b</i> }
3	{ <i>b</i> }	{ <i>c</i> }
4	{ <i>b</i> }	{ <i>a</i> }
5	{ <i>a</i> }	Ø

ℓ	$LV_{entry}(\ell)$	$LV_{exit}(\ell)$
1	$LV_{exit}(1)\setminus\{a\}$	$LV_{entry}(2)$
2	$LV_{exit}(2) \setminus \{b\} \cup \{a\}$	$LV_{entry}(3)$
3	$LV_{exit}(3) \setminus \{c\} \cup \{b\}$	$LV_{entry}(4)$
4	$LV_{exit}(4) \setminus \{a\} \cup \{b\}$	$LV_{entry}(5)$
5	$LV_{exit}(5) \cup \{a\}$	• • •
5	$LV_{exit}(3) \cup \{a\}$	

 $[a < 9]^5 \longrightarrow [\text{return } c]^6$

Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

Example for LVA



$$\begin{array}{c|c|c} \ell & \text{gen}_{LV} & \text{kill}_{LV} \\ \hline 1 & \emptyset & \{a\} \\ 2 & \{a\} & \{b\} \\ 3 & \{b\} & \{c\} \\ 4 & \{b\} & \{a\} \\ 5 & \{a\} & \emptyset \end{array}$$

l	$LV_{entry}(\ell)$	$LV_{exit}(\ell)$
1	$LV_{exit}(1)\setminus\{a\}$	$LV_{entry}(2)$
2	$LV_{exit}(2) \setminus \{b\} \cup \{a\}$	$LV_{entry}(3)$
3	$LV_{exit}(3) \setminus \{c\} \cup \{b\}$	$LV_{entry}(4)$
4	$LV_{exit}(4) \setminus \{a\} \cup \{b\}$	$LV_{entry}(5)$
5	$LV_{exit}(5) \cup \{a\}$	$LV_{entry}(2) \cup LV_{entry}(6)$

 $[a < 9]^5 \longrightarrow [\text{return } c]^6$

Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

Results



Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

Results



Note: b and a are never simultaneously live!

Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

Existence of Solutions

Solutions **always exist** to our data flow equations. Why? Because $(2^{\mathcal{A}}, \subseteq)$ (for AEA) and $(2^{Var}, \subseteq)$ (for LVA) are both lattices and all our functions are monotone. So the Knaster-Tarski theorem applies.

Interval Analysis

Data-flow Analysis

Bibliography

- F. Neilson: Principles of Program Analysis, Chapters 2 and 4, Springer 1999
- P. Cousot, A Tutorial on Abstract Interpretation, VMCAI 2005.
- Aho, Lam, Sethi, Ullman: Compilers: Principles Techniques and Tools (the Dragon Book), Second Edition.